This article was downloaded by: On: 24 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



To cite this Article Lewis, Randolph V. and Dewald, Daryll(1982) 'Reverse-Phase HPLC of Proteins: Effects of Various Bonded Phases', Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies, 5: 7, 1367 — 1374 To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01483918208067595 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918208067595

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## REVERSE-PHASE HPLC OF PROTEINS: EFFECTS OF VARIOUS BONDED PHASES

# Randolph V. Lewis and Daryll DeWald Department of Biochemistry University of Wyoming University Station, Box 3944 Laramie, Wyoming 82071

## ABSTRACT

A variety of organic phases bonded to silica can be used performance liquid effectively for the reverse-phase high chromatography of large proteins. These include octyl, octadecyl, bonded phases. differences in cyanopropyl and diphenyl The retention characteristics and selectivity among these bonded phases is demonstrated with several standard proteins.

#### INTRODUCTION

The use of reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (hplc) for the separation and purification of peptides and small proteins has gained wide acceptance (1). This same methodology for large proteins has been used in a few instances (2,3) and shows promise for much wider use. The best reverse-phase chromatography conditions for large proteins utilize large pore silica supports (30-50nm pores) and slow flow rates

Copyright © 1982 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

(2,4). These are necessary due to the size of the larger proteins and their slow diffusion rates. Although a variety of organic bonded phases have been used for the isolation of peptides and small proteins (5,6,7), an examination of various organic bonded phases for the reverse-phase chromatography of large proteins has not been reported. In this paper we demonstrate that octyl, octadecyl, diphenyl, and cyanopropyl bonded phases can be used effectively in the reverse-phase hplc of large proteins. In addition, the differences in selectivity with these different bonded phases is described.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collagen (M.W. 100,000),  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin-B (M.W. 35,000), phosphorylase-B (M.W. 94.000). and human serum albumin (M.W. 68,000) were all purchased from Sigma. Pyridine, formic acid, propanol, and acetone (all from Baker Chemical Co.) were distilled over ninhydrin prior to use. The hplc system used was a Spectra-Physics 8700 equipped with a mixing chamber. The postcolumn fluorescamine detection system has been described previously (8). The chromatography buffers were 0.5M formic acid brought to pH 4.0 with pyridine and the same buffer containing 60% (v/v) 1-propanol. A flow rate of 0.75mL/min was used for all the chromatographies. The columns (4.6 X 250mm) were 'Bakerbond' Wide-Pore columns (Baker Chemical Co.) with 33nm pore size. Further details are provided in the figure legends.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The advantage of using 33nm pore supports instead of 10nm supports is demonstrated in Fig. 1. The 33nm pore octyl pore standard column gives better separation of theproteins and



FIGURE 1. Comparison of 10nm and 33nm pore octyl columns. Phosphorylase B (10  $\mu$ g), collagen (35  $\mu$ g), human serum albumin lactoglobulin B (10  $\mu$ g) were dissolved in 1 mL of (7 µg) and This is the elution order of these starting buffer for injection. (identified a-d in the figure). The gradient was 1proteins propanol, 0-24% in 15 min, 24-48% in 55 min in 0.5M formic acid/ 0.4M pyridine pH 4.0 with a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min. Panel A is a Lichrosorb RP-8 column (10 $\mu$  particles with 10nm pores). Panel B is a "Bakerbond" Wide Pore Octyl column (10 particles with 33nm pores).

partially separates the  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  chains of collagen which are unresolved on the 10nm pore octyl column.  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin-B elutes later from the small pore column and shows a small shoulder not seen on the large pore column. Thus, it appears that this protein is small enough to effectively penetrate the smaller pores. Although other factors may be influencing the differences between these columns none of the 10nm pore supports we have tested give resolution comparable to the 33nm pore supports.

In an effort to determine the effect that the various bonded phases would have on the elution of these proteins, identical amounts of each protein were chromatographed on each of the four types of columns using identical gradients (Fig. 2). From this figure it is clear that all four bonded phases produce excellent resolution. The gradient used was designed to separate these proteins on the octyl column. The protein elution times for each of the columns is shown in Table 1. Phosphorylase-B is only weakly retained (about 1 min beyond wash through) on all these columns. The columns all show good reproducibility and recoveries of the proteins ranged from 80-95%.

The octyl and octadecyl columns show very similar elution profiles and elution times (Fig. 2A and B, Table 1), although the collagen chains are separated to a slightly greater extent on the octadecyl support. This similarity is somewhat surprising in view of the large difference in hydrophobicity between the two bonded phases. This leads us to suggest that the octadecyl chain may be



FIGURE 2. Elution from different bonded phases. Proteins and gradient used were the same as in Fig. 1. The columns were all "Bakerbond" Wide Pore columns. a) Octyl, b) Octadecyl, c) Diphenyl, and d) Cyanopropyl. Protein elution order is the same as Fig. 1.

COLUMN	<u>ELUTION TIME</u> (Min)			
	<u>PB</u>	CL	HSA	<u>LGB</u>
Octyl (10nm) <sup>2</sup>	7	26.5 (0.5)	31.5 (0.3)	45 (0.3)
Octyl <sup>3</sup>	7	27,28 (0.3)	34 (0.4)	41 (0.4)
Octadecyl <sup>3</sup>	7	27,28 (0.3)	33 (0.3)	38.5 (0.4)
Cyanopropyl <sup>3</sup>	7	29.5 (0.2)	36.5 (0.4)	41 (0.4)
Diphenyl <sup>3</sup>	7	32.5 (0.1)	45.3 (0.3)	53.5 (0.4)

TABLE 1

<sup>1</sup>The times are averages of at least 3 chromatographies with the S.E.M. in parentheses. <sup>2</sup>E.M. Merck Lichrosorb octyl column ( $10\mu$  particles). <sup>3</sup>"Bakerbond" Wide Pore columns (33nm pores and  $10\mu$  particles). All columns were 4.6 x 250nm. <sup>4</sup>The peaks are phosphorylase B (PB), collagen (CL), human serum albumin (HSA), and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin B (LGB).

folding back on itself under the aqueous conditions employed. Another possible explanation is that the proteins we used have no hydrophobic sites large enough to discriminate between the two different chain lengths. Lower ionic strengths or different buffer systems might be used to accentuate differences between these two bonded phases.

The cyano-propyl column shows a slightly different elution profile than the two aliphatic carbon chain bonded phases (Fig. 2D). There is no resolution of the collagen chains with this bonded phase. However, their elution times, as well as that of human serum albumin are later relative to the octyl column (1.5 and 2.5 min respectively). In contrast,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin-B elutes at the same time from both bonded phases. This selectivity difference between the cyano-propyl column and the aliphatic chain columns, indicates these differences can be exploited for protein purifications. The cyano-propyl bonded phase has been shown to be effective in separating small proteins that differ in glycosylation (9). These large pore cyano-propyl columns may also be useful in this type of application with large proteins.

Retention times of the standard proteins on the diphenyl bonded phase are significantly different than with the other three bonded phases (Fig. 2C). The three retained proteins, collagen, human serum albumin, and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin-B, elute much later from latter two proteins by 11 and 13 this column. the min respectively. From this data and data obtained using small pore columns with peptides (6) it is clear that the diphenyl bonded phase is interacting with proteins in a different manner than the chain bonded phases. It is likely that this interaction straight a combination of hydrophobic and aromatic stacking involves Since increased retention times are not seen on a phenyl effects. column (unpublished results) it appears the diphenyl group is to obtain stacking with aromatic residues on required the proteins. The smaller effect seen with collagen may be due to the relatively low percentage of aromatic groups in that protein.

From the results obtained in these studies it is evident that larger proteins (M.W. 50,000) can be effectively separated by reverse-phase hplc. As has been demonstrated for peptides, the use of different organic bonded phases greatly facilitates of the differences taking advantage in purifications by selectivity among the bonded phases. The proper gradient

conditions are very similar for all these bonded phases as was demonstrated in Fig. 2 where the same gradient was used for all four bonded phases. Thus it is possible to take advantage of the differing elution characteristics and selectivity provided by the different bonded phases without a great deal of trial and error to determine the appropriate buffer and organic modifier conditions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Gene Yundt and Patti Sheppard for typing this manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the Research Corporation and Baker Chemical Company.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Rubinstein, M. Anal. Biochem. <u>98</u>, 1, 1979.
- Lewis, R.V., Fallon, A., Stein, S., Gibson, K.D., and Udenfriend, S. Anal. Biochem. <u>104</u>, 153, 1980.
- 3. Fallon, A., Lewis, R.V., and Gibson, K.D. Anal. Biochem. <u>110</u>, 318, 1980.
- Jones, B. N., Lewis, R. V., Paabo, S., Kojina, K., Kimura, S., and Stein, S. J. of Liq. Chromat. 3, 1373, 1980.
- Kimura, S., Lewis, R. V., Stern, A. S., Rossier, J., Stein, S. and Udenfriend, S. Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA <u>77</u>, 1681, 1980.
- Stern, A. S., Lewis, R. V., Kimura, S., Rossier, J., Stein, S., and Udenfriend, S. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. <u>205</u>, 606, 1980.
- Lewis, R. V., Stern, A. S., Kimura, S., Rossier, J., Stein, S. and Udenfriend, S. Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA <u>77</u>, 5018, 1980.
- Bohlen, P., Stein, S., Stone, J. and Udenfriend, S. Anal. Biochem. <u>67</u>, 438, 1975.
- Kimura, S., Lewis, R. V., Stein, S. and Udenfriend, S. Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci. USA <u>76</u>, 1756, 1978.